arXiv:0705.3861v1 [math.NT] 25 May 2007

Distribution of Farey Fractions in Residue Classes and Lang–Trotter Conjectures on Average

Alina Carmen Cojocaru
Department of Math., Stat. and Comp. Sci.
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL, 60607, USA
cojocaru@math.uic.edu

Igor E. Shparlinski
Department of Computing, Macquarie University
Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia
igor@ics.mq.edu.au

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We prove that the set of Farey fractions of order T, that is, the set $\{\alpha/\beta \in \mathbb{Q} \ : \ \gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1, \ 1 \leqslant \alpha, \beta \leqslant T\}$, is uniformly distributed in residue classes modulo a prime p provided $T \geqslant p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. We apply this to obtain upper bounds for the Lang–Trotter conjectures on Frobenius traces and Frobenius fields "on average" over a one-parametric family of elliptic curves.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B57, 11G07, 14H52

1 Introduction

For a real positive T, we consider the set of Farey fractions

$$\mathcal{F}(T) = \{\alpha/\beta \in \mathbb{Q} \ : \ \gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1, \ 1 \leqslant \alpha, \beta \leqslant T\},$$

for which we know that

$$\#\mathcal{F}(T) = \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} + o(1)\right) T^2.$$

We use some results of [20] to show that the elements of this set are uniformly distributed in residue classes modulo a prime p. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 1. *Let p be a fixed prime. For an integer v, we denote by $R_{T,p}(v)$ the number of fractions $\alpha/\beta \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ with $\gcd(\beta, p) = 1$ and $\alpha/\beta \equiv v \pmod p$. Then*

$$\sum_{1 \le v \le p-1} \left| R_{T,p}(v) - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{T^2}{p} \right| = O\left(T^2 p^{-1} + T p^{1/2+o(1)}\right).$$

We apply this result to study Lang–Trotter conjectures “on average” for specializations at elements of $\mathcal{F}(T)$ of the elliptic curve

$$E(t): \quad Y^2 = X^3 + A(t)X + B(t) \tag{1}$$

over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, where $A(t), B(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. For a general background on elliptic curves, we refer the reader to [21]. To state our results for elliptic curves, let us first recall some standard notation.

Given an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\Pi_E(a, x)$ the number of primes $p \leqslant x$ which do not divide the conductor N_E of E and such that $a_p(E) = p + 1 - \#E_p(\mathbb{F}_p) = a$, where E_p denotes the reduction E_p of E modulo p.

For a fixed imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{K}$, we denote by $\Pi_E(\mathbb{K}, x)$ the number of primes $p \leqslant x$ which do not divide N_E and such that $a_p(E) \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_p(E)^2 - 4p}\right) = \mathbb{K}$.

Two celebrated Lang–Trotter conjectures assert that: if $a \neq 0$, or $a = 0$ and E is without complex multiplication, then

$$\Pi_E(a, x) = (c(E, a) + o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}$$

for some constant $c(E,a) \geqslant 0$ depending only on E and a; if E is without complex multiplication, then

$$\Pi_E(\mathbb{K},x) = (C(E,\mathbb{K}) + o(1))\,\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}$$

for some constant $C(E,\mathbb{K}) > 0$ depending only on E and $\mathbb{K}$.

Despite a series of interesting (conditional and unconditional) results, these conjectures are widely open; even the Extended Riemann Hypothesis only allows to obtain upper bounds on $\Pi_E(a,x)$ (and lower bounds in the case $a = 0$) and $\Pi_E(\mathbb{K},x)$, and those are not of the conjectured order of magnitude; see, for example, [6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 19], and also the recent surveys [5, 18]. Therefore it makes sense to study $\Pi_E(a,x)$ and $\Pi_E(\mathbb{K},x)$ on average over some natural families of curves. For example, Fouvry and Murty [12], and David and Pappalardi [9], have considered the average of $\Pi_E(a,x)$ for the family of curves $Y^2 = X^3 + uX + v$ where the integers u and v satisfy the inequalities $|u| \leqslant U$, $|v| \leqslant V$; they have shown that if $UV \geqslant x^{3/2+\varepsilon}$ and $\min\{U,V\} \geqslant x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for some fixed positive $\varepsilon > 0$, then "on average" the Lang-Trotter conjecture holds for such curves. This result has been extended in various directions [1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 13, 14, 15]. Cojocaru and Hall [8] have recently considered the one parametric family of curves of the form (1) and established an improved upper bound on the average value of $\Pi_E(a,x)$ over curves of such families when the parameter t runs through the elements of $\mathcal{F}(T)$ with T of the same order of magnitude as x.

Since obtaining tight "individual" estimates is an ultimate goal, it also makes sense to reduce the amount of "averaging". In this direction, we show that one can obtain the bound of [8, Theorem 4] (established for $T \gg x$) starting already with $T \geqslant x^{3/4+\varepsilon}$ for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. We also obtain a similar result for $\Pi_E(\mathbb{K},x)$. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 2. *Let $A(t), B(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be fixed polynomials such that $E(t)$ given by* (1) *is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ with non-constant j-invariant, that is,*

$$\Delta(t) = -16(4A(t)^3 + 27B(t)^2) \neq 0$$

and

$$j(t) = -\frac{6912A(t)^3}{4A(t)^3 + 27B(t)^2} \notin \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then for arbitrary real positive x and T,

1. *for any integer* $a \neq 0$*,*
$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(a, x) \ll T^2 x^{3/4} + T x^{3/2+o(1)};$$

2. *for* $a = 0$*,*
$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(0, x) \ll T^2 x^{2/3} + T x^{3/2+o(1)};$$

3. *for any imaginary quadratic field* $\mathbb{K}$*,*
$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(\mathbb{K}, x) \ll T^2 x^{2/3} + T x^{3/2+o(1)}.$$

It is easy to see that, for $T \geqslant x^{3/4+\varepsilon}$ for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the bound of Part 1 of Theorem 2 becomes

$$\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{F}(T)} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(a, x) \ll x^{3/4};$$

this is exactly the same as the bound of [8, Theorem 4], which, however, had been established only for $T \gg x$. Similarly, for $T \geqslant x^{5/6+\varepsilon}$ for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the bounds of Parts 2 and 3 become

$$\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{F}(T)} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(0, x) \ll x^{2/3};$$

$$\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{F}(T)} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(\mathbb{K}, x) \ll x^{2/3}.$$

We also see that Theorem 2 is nontrivial for $T \geqslant x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$.

We recall that the notations $U \ll V$ and $U = O(V)$ are both equivalent to the statement that $|U| \leqslant cV$ holds with some constant $c > 0$, which throughout the paper may depend on the polynomials $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ in (1). We also use $o(1)$ to denote a quantity which tends to zero as $T \to \infty$.

Acknowledgements. The authors are very grateful to Chris Hall for valuable comments.

The first author would like to thank the Fields Institute for an excellent working environment. This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 0636750 (for A.C. C.) and by ARC grant DP0556431 (for I. S.).

2 Proof of Theorem 1

First, we note that $R_{T,p}(0) = O(T^2/p)$, which is within the total error term of Theorem 1. Thus it is enough to concentrate on $R_{T,p}(v)$ with $v = 1, \ldots, p-1$.

For an integer d we let

$$M_{W,p,d}(v) = \#\{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \ : \ 1 \leqslant \alpha, \beta \leqslant W,\ d \mid \gcd(\alpha,\beta),\ \gcd(p,\beta)=1, \\ \alpha/\beta \equiv v \pmod p\}.$$

Clearly, $M_{W,p,d}(t) = 0$ if $p|d$ and $M_{W,p,d}(t) = M_{W/d,p,1}(t)$.

Now let $\mu(d)$ denote the Möbius function. Using the inclusion-exclusion principle, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{T,p}(v) &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \mu(d) M_{T,p,d}(v) = \sum_{\substack{d=1 \\ p\nmid d}}^{\infty} \mu(d) M_{T/d,p,1}(v) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leqslant d < p} \mu(d) M_{T/d,p,1}(v) + \sum_{\substack{d \geqslant p \\ p \nmid d}} \mu(d) M_{T/d,p,1}(v) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leqslant d < p} \mu(d) \frac{(T/d)^2}{p} \\ &\qquad + O\left(\sum_{1 \leqslant d < p} \left| M_{T/d,p,1}(v) - \frac{(T/d)^2}{p} \right| + \sum_{d \geqslant p} M_{T/d,p,1}(v) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\sum_{1 \leqslant d < p} \mu(d) \frac{(T/d)^2}{p} = \frac{T^2}{p} \left(\zeta(2)^{-1} + O(p^{-1}) \right) = \frac{T^2}{p} \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} + O(p^{-1}) \right),$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. Therefore

$$\sum_{1\le v\le p-1} \left| R_{T,p}(v) - \frac{6}{\pi^2}\cdot\frac{T^2}{p} \right| = O(T^2p^{-1} + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2), \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \sum_{1\leqslant d<p}\ \sum_{1\le v\le p-1} \left| M_{T/d,p,1}(v) - \frac{(T/d)^2}{p} \right|, \\ \Delta_2 &= \sum_{d\geqslant p}\ \sum_{1\le v\le p-1} M_{T/d,p,1}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy inequality, we deduce that

$$\left(\sum_{1\le v\le p-1} \left| M_{T/d,p,1}(v) - \frac{(T/d)^2}{p} \right| \right)^2 \leqslant p \sum_{1\le v\le p-1} \left| M_{T/d,p,1}(v) - \frac{(T/d)^2}{p} \right|^2 . \tag{3}$$

We now recall the bound

$$\sum_{1\le v\le p-1} \left| M_{W,p,1}(v) - \frac{W^2}{p} \right|^2 \leqslant W^2 p^{o(1)}, \tag{4}$$

which is a special case of more general results of [20] (note that the results of [20] apply to the congruence $\alpha \equiv v\beta \pmod p$ where β is not necessarily relatively prime to p, but the difference of $O\left(W^2/p^2\right)$ for each v does not affect the total error term). We now derive from (3) and (4) that

$$\Delta_1 \leqslant \sum_{1\leqslant d<p} \sqrt{p^{1+o(1)}(T/d)^2} = p^{1/2+o(1)} T \sum_{1\leqslant d<p} \frac{1}{d} = p^{1/2+o(1)} T. \tag{5}$$

The trivial bound

$$\sum_{1\le v\le p-1} M_{W,p,1}(v) \leqslant W^2$$

implies that

$$\Delta_2 \leqslant \sum_{d>p} (T/d)^2 = O(T^2p^{-1}). \tag{6}$$

Substituting (5) and (6) in (2), we derive the desired result.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

3.1 Preliminaries

For a fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}$, let $E(\tau)$ denote the elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ obtained by specializing $E(t)$ at $t = \tau$. Let $\Delta(\tau)$ and $N(\tau)$ denote its discriminant and conductor, respectively. For a prime $p \nmid N(\tau)$, let $E_p(\tau)$ denote the reduction of $E(\tau)$ modulo p, and let $a_p(\tau) = p+1-\#E_p(\tau)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $p \geq 5$.

Now let $\ell \neq p$ be primes such that $\ell \geq 17$ and $j(t)$ is non-constant in $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$. Let $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$ and let $[\mathbb{L}]$ be its set of places. Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{L}]$ be the set of places of bad reduction of $E(t)/\mathbb{L}$, which is finite and has the property that $\deg \mathcal{B}$ is bounded by a constant independent of p. Let $\mathbb{L}(E(t)[\ell])/\mathbb{L}$ be the extension of ℓ-division points of $E(t)$. Since $p \geq 5$, this is a tamely ramified Galois extension, whose Galois group we denote G_ℓ.

Since $\ell \geq 17$, we know from [8, Theorem 1] that the geometric Galois group of $\mathbb{L}(E[\ell])/\mathbb{L}$ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. Equivalently, the Galois group G_ℓ is the unique subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ containing $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ and satisfying $\det(G_\ell) = \langle p \rangle$.

We set

$$G_\ell^p = \{g \in G_\ell : \det(g) = p\}$$

and

$$C^p = C \cap G_\ell^p$$

for a finite union C of conjugacy classes of G_ℓ. We have the following particular case of Murty and Scherk [17, Theorem 2] (see also Section 3 of [8]).

Lemma 3. *Let $U \subseteq [\mathbb{L}]$ be the open complement of the ramification locus $Z \subseteq [\mathbb{L}]$ of $\mathbb{L}(E(t)[\ell])/\mathbb{L}$. For $v \in U(\mathbb{F}_p)$, let Frob_v denote the Frobenius at v in $\mathbb{L}(E(t)[\ell])/\mathbb{L}$. Then*

$$\#\{v \in U(\mathbb{F}_p) : \mathrm{Frob}_v \subseteq C^p\} = \frac{|C^p|}{\ell\,(\ell^2-1)}|U(\mathbb{F}_p)| + O_{g,d}\left(|C^p|^{1/2}p^{1/2}\right),$$

where the implied $O_{g,d}$-constant depends only on the genus g of $\mathbb{L}$ and the degree d of Z.

We use this result to prove Theorem 2.

For Part 3 we also need the following elementary result (see [6, Lemma 14], for example).

Lemma 4. *Let a, b be independent variables. Let $h, w \geq 1$ be integers. Then there exists a polynomial $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that*

$$\frac{(a^{hw} + b^{hw})^2}{(ab)^{hw}} = P\left(\frac{(a+b)^2}{ab}\right).$$

3.2 Parts 1 and 2: Frobenius traces

To prove Part 1, we follow the same lines as in the proof of [8, Theorem 4]. In particular, for any prime ℓ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(a, x) = \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x, \\ p \nmid N(\tau) \\ a_p(\tau) = a}} 1 \leqslant \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant x, \\ p \nmid N(\tau) \\ a_p(\tau) \equiv a \pmod{\ell}}} 1 \\ \leqslant \sum_{p \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0 \\ N(\tau) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ a_p(\tau) \equiv a \pmod{\ell}}} 1 = \sum_{p \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ a_p(v) \equiv a \pmod{\ell}}}^{p-1} R_{T,p}(v).\end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ a_p(v) \equiv a \pmod{\ell}}}^{p-1} R_{T,p}(v) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ a_p(v) \equiv a \pmod{\ell}}}^{p-1} \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{T^2}{p} + \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ a_p(v) \equiv a \pmod{\ell}}}^{p-1} \left| R_{T,p}(v) - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{T^2}{p} \right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{T^2}{p} \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ a_p(v) \equiv a \pmod{\ell}}}^{p-1} 1 + \sum_{v=1}^{p-1} \left| R_{T,p}(v) - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{T^2}{p} \right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{T^2}{p} \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ a_p(v) \equiv a \pmod{\ell}}}^{p-1} 1 + O\left(T^2 p^{-1} + T p^{1/2 + o(1)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\mathcal{F}(T)\\ \Delta(\tau)\neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(a,x)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{6T^2}{\pi}\sum_{p\leqslant x}\frac{1}{p}\sum_{\substack{1\le v\le p-1\\ \Delta(v)N(v)\not\equiv 0 \pmod p\\ a_p(v)\equiv a \pmod \ell}} 1+O\left(\sum_{p\leqslant x}\left(T^2p^{-1}+Tp^{1/2+o(1)}\right)\right).$$

Using Lemma 3 as in [8, Theorem 2] with C equal to

$$C_\ell=\{g\in G_\ell\ :\ \mathrm{tr}(g)=a\},$$

we obtain that the inner sum over v is $p/\ell+O(\ell p^{1/2})$ (provided that $\ell\geqslant 17$). Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\mathcal{F}(T)\\ \Delta(\tau)\neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(a,x)\ll T^2x\ell^{-1}+\ell T^2x^{1/2}+Tx^{3/2+o(1)}.$$

Finally, by choosing ℓ as the smallest prime with $\ell\geqslant\max\{17,x^{1/4}\}$, we conclude the proof of Part 1 of Theorem 2.

To prove Part 2, we remark that the condition $\mathrm{tr}(g)=0$ defining C_ℓ makes sense not only in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, but also in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore we apply Lemma 3 to the field extension corresponding to the projection of G_ℓ in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{1\le v\le p-1\\ \Delta(v)N(v)\not\equiv 0 \pmod p\\ a_p(v)\equiv 0 \pmod \ell}} 1=p/\ell+O(\ell^{1/2}p^{1/2})$$

(provided that $\ell\geq 17$), and so

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau\in\mathcal{F}(T)\\ \Delta(\tau)\neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(0,x)\ll T^2x\ell^{-1}+\ell^{1/2}T^2x^{1/2}+Tx^{3/2+o(1)}.$$

By choosing ℓ as the smallest prime with $\ell\geqslant\max\{17,x^{1/3}\}$, we conclude the proof of Part 2 of Theorem 2.

3.3 Part 3: Frobenius fields

As in Part 1, we have that

$$\sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathcal{F}(T) \\ \Delta(\tau) \neq 0}} \Pi_{E(\tau)}(\mathbb{K}, x) = \sum_{p \leqslant x} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq v \leq p-1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p \\ a_p(v) \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_p(v)^2 - 4p}\right) = \mathbb{K}}} R_{T,p}(v)$$

$$= \frac{6T^2}{\pi} \sum_{p \leqslant x} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq v \leq p-1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p \\ a_p(v) \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_p(v)^2 - 4p}\right) = \mathbb{K}}} 1 + O\left(\sum_{p \leqslant x} \left(T^2 p^{-1} + T p^{1/2 + o(1)}\right)\right).$$

It remains to estimate the inner sum in the first term.

Let $0 \leq v \leq p-1$ be such that $p \nmid \Delta(v), p \nmid N(v)$, $a_p(v) \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_p(v)^2 - 4p}\right) = \mathbb{K}$. Let $\pi_p(v)$ be defined by

$$X^2 - a_p(v)X + p = (X - \pi_p(v))\left(X - \overline{\pi_p(v)}\right).$$

Then $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_p(v)^2 - 4p}\right) = \mathbb{Q}(\pi_p(v))$, and so p splits completely in $\mathbb{K}$. We write $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} = \mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some conjugate prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}, \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi_p(v))$.

Let h and w be the class number and the number of units of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. We define

$$\pi_p(\mathbb{K}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$$

by

$$\pi_p(\mathbb{K}) = \alpha^w, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{p}^h = \alpha\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}.$$

(Note that we have two choices for $\pi_p(\mathbb{K})$, and we simply make one.) By combining the above observations, we obtain that

$$\pi_p(v)^{hw} = \pi_p(\mathbb{K}). \tag{7}$$

We reinterpret (7) as a Chebotarev condition in some extension of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ (note that here p and $\mathbb{K}$ are fixed, and v is a specialization of t). To do this, let us choose a rational prime $\ell \geq 17$, $\ell \neq p$, and consider the Galois extension $\mathbb{L}(E[\ell])/\mathbb{L}$. From classical theory we know that the Frobenius at v

in this extension, viewed as an element of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, has the property that its trace $\operatorname{tr}\mathrm{Frob}_v$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{tr}\mathrm{Frob}_v \equiv \pi_p(v) + \overline{\pi_p(v)} \pmod{\ell}.$$

Thus $\pi_p(v)$ has a "Chebotarev interpretation" in this extension.

Now we combine (7) with Lemma 4, getting

$$\frac{\left(\pi_p(\mathbb{K}) + \overline{\pi_p(\mathbb{K})}\right)^2}{\pi_p(\mathbb{K})\overline{\pi_p(\mathbb{K})}} = \frac{\left(\pi_p(v)^{hw} + \overline{\pi_p(v)}^{hw}\right)^2}{\pi_p(v)^{hw}\overline{\pi_p(v)}^{hw}} = P\left(\frac{(\pi_p(v) + \overline{\pi_p(v)})^2}{\pi_p(v)\overline{\pi_p(v)}}\right).$$

Let us define

$$C_\ell = \left\{ g \in G_\ell \ : \ P\left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(g)^2}{\det g}\right) = \frac{\left(\pi_p(\mathbb{K}) + \overline{\pi_p(\mathbb{K})}\right)^2}{\pi_p(\mathbb{K})\overline{\pi_p(\mathbb{K})}} \right\}.$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}(g)$ and $\det g$ denote the trace and determinant of g, respectively. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le v \le p-1 \\ \Delta(v)N(v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ a_p(v) \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a_p(v)^2 - 4p}\right) = \mathbb{K}}} 1 \le \#\left\{1 \le v \le p-1 \ : \ p \nmid \Delta(v)N(v), \ \mathrm{Frob}_v \subseteq C_\ell\right\}. \quad (8)$$

To estimate (8) we can now invoke Lemma 3. Again, as in the proof of Part 2, we remark that the condition defining C_ℓ makes sense not only in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, but also in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. Thus we apply Lemma 3 to the field extension corresponding to the projection of G_ℓ in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. It is an easy calculation to show that $\#C_\ell^p = O(\ell^2)$ and $\#\overline{C}_\ell^p = O(\ell)$, where $\overline{C}_\ell^p$ is the union of conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ of the elements in C_ℓ^p. After putting everything together and continuing as in Part 2, we conclude the proof.

References

[1] A. Akbary, C. David and R. Juricevic, 'Average distributions and product of L-series', *Acta Arith.*, **111** (2004), 239–268.

[2] S. Baier, 'The Lang–Trotter conjecture on average', *Preprint*, 2006 (available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0609095`).

[3] W. D. Banks, and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Sato–Tate, cyclicity, and divisibility statistics on average for elliptic curves of small height', *Preprint*, 2006, (available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0609144`).

[4] J. Battista, J. Bayless, D. Ivanov and K. James, 'Average Frobenius distributions for elliptic curves with nontrivial rational torsion' *Acta Arith.*, **119** (2005), 81–91.

[5] A. C. Cojocaru, 'Questions about the reductions modulo primes of an elliptic curve', *Proc. 7th Meeting of the Canadian Number Theory Association (Montreal, 2002)*, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, Vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, 61–79.

[6] A. C. Cojocaru and C. David, 'Frobenius fields for elliptic curves', *Preprint*, 2006, (available at `http://www.mathstat.concordia.ca/faculty/cdavid/PAPERS/CD-EC2006-final.pdf`).

[7] A. C. Cojocaru, É. Fouvry and M. R. Murty, 'The square sieve and the Lang–Trotter conjecture', *Canadian J. Math.*, **57** (2005), 1155–1177.

[8] A. C. Cojocaru and C. Hall, 'Uniform results for Serre's theorem for elliptic curves', *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **2005** (2005), 3065–3080.

[9] C. David and F. Pappalardi, 'Average Frobenius distribution of elliptic curves', *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **4** (1999), 165–183.

[10] C. David and F. Pappalardi, 'Average Frobenius distribution for inerts in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$', *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, **19** (2004), 1–21.

[11] N.D. Elkies, 'The existence of infinitely many supersingular primes for every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$', *Invent. Math.* **89** (1987), no. 3, 561–567.

[12] É. Fouvry and M. R. Murty, 'On the distribution of supersingular primes', *Canad. J. Math.*, **48** (1996), 81–104.

[13] E.-U. Gekeler, 'Frobenius distributions of elliptic curves over finite prime fields', *Int. Math. Res. Notes*, **2003** (2003), 1999–2018.

[14] K. James, ‘Average Frobenius distributions for elliptic curves with 3-torsion’, *J. Number Theory*, **109** (2004), 278–298.

[15] K. James and G. Yu, ‘Average Frobenius distribution of elliptic curves’, *Acta Arith.*, **124** (2006), 79–100.

[16] M. R. Murty, V. K. Murty and N. Saradha, ‘Modular forms and the Chebotarev density theorem’, *Amer. J. Math.*, **110** (1998), 253–281.

[17] V. K. Murty and J. Scherk, ‘Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem for function fields’, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I*, **319** (1994), 523–528.

[18] M. R. Murty and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Group structure of elliptic curves over finite fields and applications’, *Topics in Geometry, Coding Theory and Cryptography*, Springer-Verlag, 2006, 167–194.

[19] J.-P. Serre, ‘Queques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev’, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, no. 54, 1981, 123–201.

[20] I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Distribution of inverses and multiples of small integers and the Sato–Tate conjecture on average’, *Preprint*, 2006, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0608596`.

[21] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.